gr-qc/9406017
Nuovo Cimento A 107, 1543-1547 (1994)

$S^1 \times S^2$ as a bag membrane and its Einstein-Weyl geometry

H.C. Rosu[1]

Instituto de Física de la Universidad de Guanajuato, Apdo Postal E-143, León, Gto, México

arXiv:gr-qc/9406017v2 26 Oct 1997

Abstract

In the hybrid skyrmion in which an Anti-de Sitter bag is imbedded into the skyrmion configuration a $S^1 \times S^2$ membrane is lying on the compactified spatial infinity of the bag [H. Rosu, Nuovo Cimento B 108, 313 (1993)]. The connection between the quark degrees of freedom and the mesonic ones is made through the membrane, in a way that should still be clarified from the standpoint of general relativity and topology. The $S^1 \times S^2$ membrane as a 3-dimensional manifold is at the same time a Weyl-Einstein space. We make here an excursion through the mathematical body of knowledge in the differential geometry and topology of these spaces which is expected to be useful for hadronic membranes.

PACS numbers: 12.40.Aa, 11.10Lm

MSC numbers: 51P05, 83C60

1 Introduction

We considered recently a hybrid skyrmion model possessing an Anti de Sitter minibag [1]. In such a case, quarks could be interpreted as Dirac singletons, and are confined by geometry alone. However, when the spatial infinity of the Anti-de Sitter space is taken into account more carefully, one will discover, via a bosonization procedure, the possibility of communication across the boundary of the bag. This is reminiscent of the so-called Cheshire Cat principle which was much popularized by the Nordita group [2]. In fact, taking quarks and gluons to be indeed Dirac singletons, one could think of them as degrees of freedom belonging entirely to the membrane [3]. The scope of the present paper is to put together some geometrical aspects of the spatial infinity of Anti de Sitter spacetime, i.e., the $S^1 \times S^2$ membrane. This manifold is one of the

[1]Electronic mail: rosu@ifug.ugto.mx

eight classes of 3-dimensional geometries in Thurston classification [4]. The most important properties are its Weyl-Einstein character and the fact it has no Einstein metric. One might say that $S^1 \times S^2$ is a natural linking between the Einstein metric of the bag internal region and the Weyl geometry reigning all over the outside.

Much of the basic mathematical facts collected here can be found in the excellent book of Besse [5]. Also the 1989 Durham review of Tod [11] is a very useful reference. Further mathematical progress may be found in the Princeton studies of Guillemin [6].

2 Einstein spaces

2.1 Inside the AdS bag

The spacetime within the bag is the AdS vacuum solution of Einstein equations. It is a vacuum solution of constant curvature characterized by one real number only, the scalar curvature. For physicists it is one of the most celebrated Einstein spaces.

Generally an Einstein metric is defined to satisfy ,

$$Ric(g) = cg \tag{1}$$

where c is some constant (if dim $M \geq 3$) The differentiability of the metric in Einstein spaces may be changed by isometries, and to obtain optimal smoothness, harmonic coordinates are required. The first theorem to be mentioned is that of DeTurck-Kazdan [7]: *one could find an atlas in any Einstein manifold in dim* ≥ 3 *with real analytic transition functions, so that the metric is analytic in each coordinate chart.*

One of the best known theorems concerning Einstein manifolds is, as a matter of fact, a corollary of DeTurck-Kazdan theorem and has to do with local isometric embeddings. It states that: *any Einstein manifold (M,g) of dimension greater or equal to three is locally isometrically embeddable in* $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$. The embeddable dimension is high but at the present time it is not known if it could be lowered, except for the 3-dimensional case. Einstein 3-dimensional metrics are necessarily of constant curvature, and thus a 3 -d Einstein metric is locally that of a 3-sphere, flat $\mathbb{R}^3$, or hyperbolic 3-space. There exist simple local isometric embedings of $S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ and of $H^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$.

2.2 Einstein Metrics on Three Manifolds

To see what makes $S^1 \times S^2$ very peculiar, we review here , again following Besse, the important mathematical facts about 3-d Einstein manifolds.

Perhaps, the most important truth is a theorem due to R.S. Hamilton [8] : *Let M be a connected, compact (without boundary), smooth, 3-d manifold, and assume that M admits a metric g such that Ric(g) is everywhere positive definite. Then M also admits a metric with constant positive sectional curvature.*

The analogue of the Hamilton theorem for negative Ricci curvature does not exist. The counter-example is precisely $S^1 \times S^2$, which Gao and Yau [9] have shown to possess a strictly negative Ricci curvature metric. However it cannot have a negative sectional curvature metric since than using the exponential map its universal cover would have to be $\mathbb{R}^3$ and not $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$.

Since in dimension 3 an Einstein manifold has necessarily constant sectional curvature, its universal covering is diffeomorphic either to $\mathbb{R}^3$ or to S^3. Therefore $S^1 \times S^2$ has no Einstein metric. For 3-manifolds admitting a metric with constant sectional curvature, any embedded 2-sphere in M bounds an embedded 3-ball B^3 in M, (M is prime). This is impossible for the membrane, and so is for any manifold with a non trivial connected sum decomposition M = N#P (where N and P are not diffeomorphic to S^3).

3 Einstein-Weyl spaces

3.1 3-dimensional Einstein-Weyl spaces

In 1989 K.P. Tod [11] has compactly reviewed 3-dimensional Einstein-Weyl spaces. Here we follow his extremely clear exposition. A Weyl space is a smooth (real or complex) manifold equipped with:

(1) a conformal metric

(2) a symmetric connection or torsion-free covariant derivative (so-called Weyl connection)

which are compatible in the sense that the connection preserves the conformal metric. This compatibility ensures two fundamental facts. The first one is "teleorthogonality", that is orthogonal vectors stay orthogonal when parallel propagated in the Weyl connection. The second

is the uniqueness of the null geodesics. Once given a conformal metric one could define null geodesics, and they preserve the null character with respect to the Weyl connection.

These two remarkable properties have been exploited by Weyl in 1918 to unify the long range fields of nature, the electromagnetic and the gravitational fields [10]. In the historical perspective, Weyl tried to generalize the notion of parallel transport in general relativity to include the possibility that lengths, and not only directions, may change under parallel transport of vectors along any path. The Weyl theory, which made Weyl spaces interesting from the physical standpoint, provided a geometrical interpretation for the electromagnetic field, but the absence of an "absolute standard of length" has been considered a crucial contradiction to known experimental facts.

Coming back to mathematics and writing a chosen representative for the conformal metric in local coordinates as g_{ab}, and the Weyl covariant derivative as D_a, the compatibility condition has the form

$$D_a g_{bc} = \omega_a g_{bc} \tag{2}$$

for some 1-form $\omega = \omega_a dx^a$.

The conformal change of the metric

$$g \to \hat{g} = \Omega^2 g \tag{3}$$

brings in the following change of the one-form

$$\omega \to \hat{\omega} = \omega + 2\frac{d\Omega}{\Omega} \tag{4}$$

where Ω is a smooth, strictly positive, function on the Weyl space.

The difference between the Weyl connection and the Levi-Civita connection is encoded in the 1-form ω. Thus a Weyl space can be defined as the pair (g,ω) with ω constrained by Eq.(4).

The Weyl connection has a curvature tensor, and by contraction, a Ricci tensor. The skew part of the Ricci tensor is a 2-form which is automatically a multiple of $d\omega$. In order to impose the Einstein condition on the Weyl space we constrain the symmetric part of the Ricci W-tensor

to be proportional to the conformal metric. In local coordinates, the Einstein condition in Weyl space reads

$$W_{(ab)} = \Psi g_{ab} \quad \text{some} \quad \Psi \tag{5}$$

Eq.(5) is the definition of Einstein-Weyl spaces. Moreover, in the 3-dimensional case one may prove the identity

$$W_{[ab]} = \quad -3\, F_{ab} \tag{6}$$

where F_{ab} is the 2-form dω.

The Einstein condition, Eq.(5), can be rewritten in terms of $g_{(ab)}$ and ω_a in the following way

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{(a}\omega_{b)} - \frac{1}{4}\omega_a\omega_b \propto \; g_{ab} \tag{7}$$

Eq.(7) shows that the Einstein-Weyl equation, Eq.(5), is a natural conformally-invariant equation which generalises the Einstein equation. At the same time, Eq.(7) and Eq.(6) define the membrane $S^1 \times S^2$. 3-dimensional E-W spaces have been studied for the first time by Cartan in 1943; he showed that 3-d E-W spaces are specified in terms of four arbitrary functions of two variables.

3.2 Mini-twistors and Geodesics

Other mathematical facts which may be of physical relevance are Hitchin description of 3-d E-W spaces in terms of mini-twistors, i.e., two-dimensional complex manifolds containing a family of rational curves [12]. Hitchin correspondence was extensively used by Pederson and Tod to construct new series of E-W spaces [13]. Recently, Merkulov constructed a supersymmetric generalization of E-W geometry, [14]. The E-W structure of $S^1 \times S^2$ can be obtained in an easy way from $\mathbb{R}^3$ taken as an E-W space with :

$$h = dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\,\theta d\phi^2) \tag{8}$$

$$\omega = 0 \tag{9}$$

Put $r = e^{\chi}$ and rescale with $\Omega = e^{-\chi}$:

$$\hat{h} = d\chi^2 + d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \tag{10}$$

$$\hat{\omega} = -2d\chi \tag{11}$$

Take χ to be periodic. Then one will find a compact E-W space with Weyl scalar nought, $W = 0$, and $F_{ij} = 0$. It is $S^1 \times S^2$.

Finally the most "physical" property is the behaviour of geodesics. The membrane could be considered to be the space between two concentric spheres in the flat 3-d Euclidean space, with a suitable identification of the two bounding spheres. When a geodesic hits the exterior sphere at a certain point, it will reappear on the interior sphere at a point on the same radial direction. It follows that the geodesic will end up on the radial line in its plane to which it was initially parallel.

4 Topology Change and the $S^1 \times S^2$ Membrane

There is some progress concerning topology changes in general relativity which is of direct interest for the hadronic membranes [16]. The old result of Geroch [17] that a spacetime contains at least one closed timelike curve iff its boundary is purely spacelike or purely timelike has been extended by Gibbons and Hawking to boundaries with non-zero gravitational kink number. Actually, there is a lively discussion concerning the definition of the kinking number as related to the topological conservation laws at spacetime boundaries and to the causality requirements [18]. If the hadronic membranes are considered not to be entirely spacelike or timelike than the topological law of Gibbons and Hawking states that the sum of their wormhole and the number of kinks is conserved modulo two. Furthermore, the supersymmetric supergravity domain wall space-times considered by Cvetič *et al* [19] should be taken into account as another language for hadronic membranes and it would be interesting to see the results. Gibbons conjectured that there may be zero- modes trapped on the domain wall that are related to the Dirac singletons [20]. Also the connection with the Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi formalism is an open issue.

5 Conclusions

We have considered in some detail, following leading mathematicians, the Einstein-Weyl character of the $S^1 \times S^2$ membrane that one has to study in the case of Anti-de Sitter bags. This

membrane happens to be a beautiful mathematical treasure, and certainly is full of physical consequences, that we have yet to explore. We recall here that de Sitter bubble-like extended models for the electron and the muon have been put forth by Dirac thirty years ago [15]. However, we do not favor too much Dirac extended models, as we consider the electron to be similar to a disclination in a crystal. As for hadrons endowed with $S^1 \times S^2$ membranes, it will be of interest to investigate dynamical problems, e.g., hadron-hadron interactions at the level of their membranes. Topological methods under current progress will probably clarify more the mathematical structure of the hadronic membranes.

We thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organizations for their hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, where this work has been started. Partial support through the CONACyT Grant No. F246-E9207 to the University of Guanajuato is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] H. Rosu, "Hybridizing the Skyrmion with an Anti- de Sitter Bag", Nuovo Cimento B **108**, 313 (1993);

[2] S. Nadkarni, H.B. Nielsen, and I. Zahed, "Bosonization Relations as Bag Boundary Conditions", *Nucl. Phys.* B **253**, 308 (1985)

[3] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, "Quarks or Singletons", *Phys. Lett* B **172**, 412 (1986)

[4] W. Thurston, The Geometry and Topology of 3-Manifolds, Notes based on a course given at Princeton University in 1978-1979, (PUP, 1981)

[5] A.L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer Verlag, 1987

[6] V. Guillemin "Cosmology in (2+1)-Dimensions, Cyclic Models, and Deformations of $M_{2,1}$", Annals of Math. Studies **121** (PUP, Princeton, New Jersey, 1989)

[7] D. DeTurck and J. Kazdan, "Some Regularity Theorems in Riemannian Geometry", *Ann. Sc. Ecole Normale Superiore*, 4e. Serie 14, 249, (1981)

[8] R.S. Hamilton, "3-Manifolds with Positive Ricci Curvature", *J. Diff. Geometry* **17**, 255 (1982)

[9] L.Z. Gao and S.T. Yau, "The Existence of Negatively Ricci Curved Metrics on 3-Manifolds", *Inv. Math.* **85**, 637 (1986)

[10] H. Weyl, *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.*, 465 (1918)

[11] K.P. Tod, "3-Dimensional Einstein-Weil Geometry", in London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Series. **150** (1990)

[12] N.J. Hitchin, "Complex Manifolds and Einstein's Equations", in Lect. Notes in Math. **970** (1982)

[13] H. Pedersen and K.P. Tod, "3-Dimensional Einstein-Weyl Geometry", *Adv.Math* **97**, 74 (1993)

[14] S.A. Merkulov, "Twistor Transform of Einstein-Weyl Superspaces", *Class. Quantum Grav.* **8**, 2149 (1991); For a recent review of twistor field theory see: S.A. Huggett, "Recent work in twistor field theory", *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 127 (1992); For the connection between minitwistor spaces and Einstein-Weyl spaces see: P.E. Jones and K.P. Tod, "Minitwistor spaces and Einstein-Weyl spaces", *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2**, 565 (1985)

[15] P.A.M. Dirac, "An Extensible Model of the Electron", *Proc. R. Soc. London* A **268**, 57 (1962); See also: W.R. Wood, G. Papini, "Breaking Weyl invariance in the interior of a bubble", *Phys. Rev.* D **45**, 3617 (1992)

[16] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, "Kinks and Topology Change", *Phys.Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1719 (1992); see also: A. Chamblin and R. Penrose, *Twistor Newsletter* **34**, 13 (1992)

[17] R.P. Geroch, "Topology in General Relativity",*J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 782 (1968)

[18] R.J. Low, "Kinking number and the Hopf index theorem", *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 4840 (1993)

[19] M. Cvetič et al., "Cauchy Horizons, Thermodynamics, and Closed Timelike Curves in Planar Supersymmetric Spaces", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1191 (1993)

[20] G.W. Gibbons, "Global structure of supergravity domain wall space-times", *Nucl. Phys. B* **394**, 3 (1993)